

Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-241-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for permission and approval to abandon and remove a meter station and any related appurtenant facilities, located in San Patricio County, Texas. FGT makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT states that it is proposing to abandon facilities which once served as a point of measurement for natural gas which bypassed the Warren processing plant. It is stated that the Warren plant has not been used for some time and is not expected to be used in the future. The estimated cost of removal is \$15,800 with an estimated salvage value of \$15,825.

It is averred that the proposed activity is not prohibited by FGT's existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to continue all services without detriment or disadvantage to FGT's firm customers.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-242-000]

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-242-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to establish a new delivery point under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to establish an additional delivery point in order to properly meter expanded volumes of up to 6,000 Dekatherms per day for Central Gas Company (Central Gas), a subsidiary of Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company. Tennessee states that it would install a 3-inch meter and associated piping adjacent to an existing delivery meter (Meter No. 2-0431) located at M.P. 554-1+1.10 on the 500-1 Line and M.P. 554-3+1.10 on the 500-3 Line in Lauderdale County, Alabama.¹

Tennessee explains that the additional meter is necessary to handle gas measurement on peak days. Tennessee further states that while the increased deliveries of gas to Central Gas would be through release capacity, IT, or authorized overruns, the total quantities to be delivered for Central Gas' account after establishment of the new delivery meter would not exceed the total quantities authorized prior to this request. Tennessee states that it would be reimbursed for the cost of the project, estimated to be \$27,446.

Comment date: April 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance

of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6310 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-237-000]

Greeley Gas Company; Application for Service Area Determination

March 9, 1995.

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Greeley Gas Company (Greeley), a Division of Atmos Energy Corporation, Three Lincoln Centre, 5430 LBJ Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75265, filed in Docket No. CP95-237-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(f) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for a service area determination for its Lamar System in the states of Colorado and Kansas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Greeley states that its Lamar System serves consumers in approximately six towns in southwest Kansas and 16 towns in southeast Colorado, as well as certain irrigation customers and right-of-way grantors. Greeley submits that grant of this application would assist Greeley to maximize gas cost benefits and enhance supply options for its Lamar System customers.

Greeley asserts its Lamar System meets the Commission's criteria for granting a service area determination. Greeley states the following: All of its sales are regulated by either the Colorado or the Kansas public service commission; there are no sales for resale on the Lamar System, only retail sales and transportation for other customers; the Lamar System is essentially one integrated local distribution system with certain gathering areas; the system is limited to a specific geographical area in rural Kansas and Colorado, and connects to only one interstate pipeline, Colorado Interstate Gas Company; and

¹ See 34 FPC 452 (1965).

there are no other distribution companies in the area.

Greeley requests that the Commission treat its Lamar System as a local distribution company for purposes of Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act. Greeley further requests a waiver of all reporting and accounting requirements and rules and regulations which are ordinarily applicable to natural gas companies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 30, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any proceeding herein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a service area determination is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Greeley to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6303 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP94-93-007]

K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company; Revised Compliance Filing

March 9, 1995.

Take notice that on March 7, 1995, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. (KNI) tendered for filing a revised tariff sheet in compliance with the Commission's February 10, 1995 Letter Order in the referenced proceeding. KNI states that its February 27, 1995 tariff compliance filing inadvertently did not contain the correct IT rate on Sheet No. 4 of First Revised Volume No. 1-C of its FERC Gas Tariff. KNI further states that Sub. First Revised Sheet No. 4, submitted with its filing, makes the appropriate correction.

KNI states that copies of the filing were served upon each person designated on the official service list complied by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before March 16, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make any protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6306 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM95-2-16-003]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Compliance Filing

March 9, 1995.

Take notice that on March 6, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, Third Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 6.

National states that this tariff sheet is filed in response to the Commission's order issued on October 21, 1994, in the above-captioned proceeding. Specifically, National states that the October 21 Letter Order rejected Second Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 6 filed in this proceeding as premature because the tariff sheet included the P-2 and IR-2 Rate Schedules which had

not yet been approved by the Commission. National states that this left a tariff sheet in effect as of October 1, 1994, that did not include the P-2 and IR-2 Rate Schedules. National states that since the Commission approved the P-2 and IR-2 Rate Schedules in a February 13, 1995, Order in Docket No. RP94-80-000, et al., effective August 24, 1994, it is filing to supersede the sheet effective as of October 1, 1994, which did not include the P-2 and IR-2 rates.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before March 16, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6308 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. QF86-556-004]

Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates; Application for Commission Recertification of Qualifying Status of a Small Power Production Facility

March 9, 1995.

On February 28, 1995, Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates (applicant), c/o B&W Sunnyside L.P., 20 South Van Buren Avenue, Barberton, OH 44203, and c/o NRG Sunnyside Inc., 1221 Nicollet Mall, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN 55403, submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility as a small power production facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the bituminous coal refuse-fueled small power production facility is located at Carbon County, Utah, and consists of a circulating fluidized bed boiler and an extraction/condensing steam turbine generating unit. The facility commenced commercial operation on March 19, 1993.

In Docket No. QF86-556-000, the applicant was granted certification for a 45 MW topping-cycle cogeneration facility [39 FERC ¶ 62,091 (1987)]. In